IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2179 OF 2005

Subhash Abasaheb Patil ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr.R.S. Kate, for the Applicant.

Mr.A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

7th April 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record. Having regard to the seriousness of the offence, not a case for bail. Besides, there are antecedents against the Applicant. Indeed, the Applicant has been acquitted in respect of offence under M.C.O.C. Act. Even so, there have been repeated preventive actions against the Applicant. Besides, going through the

allegations in the F.I.R. lodged by the first informant, the offence is a serious offence. The application, therefore, deserves to be dismissed. Ordered accordingly.

. As prayer for bail is rejected, and since the Applicant is in custody since 14th June 2002, the trial Court is directed to dispose of the case finally as expeditiously as possible, preferably in six months from the date of receipt of writ of this Court. If the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is responsible for delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which can be considered as and when occasion arises.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)